

Overview of Best Practices in Impact Assessment

Alex Hunt
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs
U.S. Office of Management and Budget

Regulatory Impact Assessment: Advancing the Economic & Commercial Strategic Partnership

September 10, 2014

Agenda

Regulatory Impact Assessment: A Good Regulatory Practice

A Strong International Consensus

- APEC-OECD Integrated Checklist on Regulatory Reform
- Recommendation of the OECD Council on Regulatory Policy and Governance

Regulatory Impact Analysis

- Basic Goals
- Elements
- Why it Matters

Regulatory Transparency and Participation in the U.S.

Administrative Procedure Act of 1946

Good Regulatory Practices (GRPs)

Coordinated, coherent "whole of government" approach to regulation

<u>Analysis</u>: tools to assess need/impact of, proposed regulation (e.g. impact assessment, cost benefit analysis, risk assessment)

<u>Transparency and public participation</u>, considering stakeholder inputs



2005 APEC-OECD Integrated Checklist on Regulatory Reform

- B. Regulatory Policy. Regulatory policies are designed to maximise the efficiency, transparency, and accountability of regulations based on an integrated rule-making approach and the application of regulatory tools and institutions.
- •B2. Are the legal basis and the economic and social impacts of drafts of new regulations reviewed? What performance measurements are being envisaged for reviewing the economic and social impacts of new regulations?
- •B3. Are the legal basis and the economic and social impacts of existing regulations reviewed, and if so, what use is made of performance measurements?
- •B5. Are there effective public consultation mechanisms and procedures including prior notification open to regulated parties and other stakeholders, non-governmental organisations, the private sector, advisory bodies, accreditation bodies, standards-development organisations and other governments?
- •B6. To what extent are clear and transparent methodologies and criteria used to analyse the regulatory impact when developing new regulations and reviewing existing regulations?
 - •B7. How are alternatives to regulation assessed?

2012 Recommendation of the OECD Council on Regulatory Policy and Governance

- 1. Commit at the highest political level to an explicit whole-of-government policy for regulatory quality. The policy should have clear objectives and frameworks for implementation to ensure that, if regulation is used, the economic, social and environmental benefits justify the costs, the distributional effects are considered and the net benefits are maximised.
- 2. Adhere to principles of open government, including transparency and participation in the regulatory process to ensure that regulation serves the public interest and is informed by the legitimate needs of those interested in and affected by regulation. This includes providing meaningful opportunities (including online) for the public to contribute to the process of preparing draft regulatory proposals and to the quality of the supporting analysis. Governments should ensure that regulations are comprehensible and clear and that parties can easily understand their rights and obligations.
- 4. Integrate Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) into the early stages of the policy process for the formulation of new regulatory proposals. *Clearly identify policy goals, and evaluate if regulation is necessary and how it can be most effective and efficient in achieving those goals.* Consider means other than regulation and identify the tradeoffs of the different approaches analysed to identify the best approach.

History of Regulatory Analysis in the U.S.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 require agencies to conduct a regulatory analysis for "economically significant" regulatory actions.

- (2011) Executive Order 13563
- (1993) Executive Order 12866
- (1981) Executive Order 12291

The "Regulatory Right to Know Act" requires OMB to "issue guidelines to agencies to standardize

- (1) measures of benefits and costs; and
- (2) the format of accounting statements."

OMB has issued guidance on how to conduct regulatory impact analysis in place since the 1980s.

(2003) OMB Circular A-4

Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA): Goals

Basic Goals

- Maximize net benefits to society—or at least ensure that benefits justify costs.
- Promote economic efficiency by regulating only where markets fail, and when regulating, by using cost-effective and market-based approaches.
- Increase the transparency of the regulatory system.

RIA assesses the anticipated consequences a regulation and estimates associated benefits and costs.

- Helps to organize and consolidate all the possible impacts and elements for decisions at various stages of policy development.
- Provides clear and transparent methodologies and criteria for new or existing regulations.

Elements of an RIA

Elements of a Regulatory Impact Analysis

- Statement of need for the proposed rule that identifies the nature and significance of the problem (e.g., identification of the market failure).
- Examination of alternative approaches to addressing the problem.
- Analysis of the costs and benefits of each alternative.

RIA is a flexible and adaptable tool that should always:

- Be proportional to the situation.
- Follow consistent guidance for complexity and level of analysis.



Why Does Regulatory Analysis Matter?

Good analysis helps provide a reasonable basis for rulemaking.

- Demonstrates whether a rule maximizes net benefits to society—or at least ensure that benefits justify costs.
- Demonstrates whether a rule promotes economic efficiency by regulating only where markets fail, and when regulating, by using cost-effective and marketbased approaches.
- Increases the transparency of the regulatory system.

Good analysis is critical to inform stakeholders:

- Decision-makers
- Interested/Affected Parties (regulated entities and stakeholders)
 - The Legislature
- The Public

Regulatory Transparency and Participation in the U.S.

Administrative Procedure Act of 1946 (APA)

- The APA requires that agencies go through a notice and comment process open to all members of the affected public, both U.S. and foreign.
- Before agencies can issue a final regulation, they must respond to the public comments, make sure that the final regulation is a logical out-growth of the proposal and the administrative record, and is not arbitrary or capricious.

Federal Register

- The official daily publication for rules, proposed rules, and notices of Federal agencies and organizations
- Executive orders and other presidential documents are also published in the Federal Register.

Regulatory Transparency and Participation: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)

Preamble

- Legal authority for the regulation.
- A summary of the provisions in the regulatory proposal.
- A description of alternatives to the agency's proposal.
- Solicitation for public comments on the issues raised.
- Various legal and analytical assessments.

Regulatory Text

• Draft text that the agency proposes be codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (i.e., law).



Regulatory Transparency and Participation: Final Rule

Preamble

- Discussion of changes from the NPRM and why they were made.
- Responses to public comments on the NPRM.
- Various legal and analytical assessments.

Regulatory Text

• The text that will be codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (i.e., law).

Effective Date

- The APA requires at least 30 days from publication
- There are exceptions (including "good cause").

Regulatory Transparency and Public Participation: Regulations.gov





References

2005 APEC-OECD Integrated Checklist on Regulatory Reform: http://www.oecd.org/regreform/34989455.pdf

2012 Recommendation of the OECD Council on Regulatory Policy and Governance: http://www.oecd.org/gov/regulatory-policy/49990817.pdf

Administrative Procedure Act: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/laws/administrative-procedure/

Federal Register: <u>www.FederalRegister.gov</u>

Public Participation in the Regulatory Process: www.regulations.gov

